Thelo is a type family that emerged from a consideration of the publishing conditions in the digital era.

Designed by Tassiana Nuñez Costa between 2014 and 2020, the typeface aims to answer contemporary editorial questions of coherence and legilibility accross medias and reading formats. In order to adapt to different reading contexts, on screen as well as on paper, and to allow for an eficient hierarchization of content, Thelo has three variations of optical sizes (Display, Text and Micro) that refer to the optical settings typically used by punchcutters of the lead type era. Applied to digital typography, this principle allows the optimization of reading comfort on screen.

The constraints of digital media have driven Tassiana Nuñez Costa to make some striking formal choices:

Thelo Text (Regular, Italic, Bold) is adapted to the composition of running text. Its clean and functional design brings it closer to modernist style typefaces but its pointed connections and terminations evoke certain characteristics of flared glyphic typefaces.

Thelo Display (Light, Regular, Bold) has been designed for composing large sized texts such as titles. Its design is enhanced by lively and sharp lines.

Finally, Thelo Micro (Regular, Italic, Bold) is tailored to the composition of smaller sized texts such as footnotes and captions. Its quite solid rectangular serifs provide it with the aesthetic of a slab serif.

Thelo is named after the Thelocactus, a variety of cactus native to Mexico: linking the harsh aspect of on screen display and the arid lands of desert zones.

THELO FAMILY

With the efficient and precious help
of Charly Derouault, Alexis Faudot
and Roxane Gataud

240 PTS

Thel

120 PTS

Thelo **The**

56 PTS

Thelo Thelo **Thelo** Th

32 PTS

Thelo *Thelo* **Thelo** Thelo *Thelo* **Thel**

24 PTS

Thelo *Thelo* **Thelo** Thelo *Thelo* **Thelo** Thelo *Th*

16 PTS

Thelo *Thelo* **Thelo** Thelo *Thelo* **Thelo** Thelo *Thelo* **Thelo** *Thelo* **Thelo**

INTRODUCTION

OWNERSHIP AND LICENCE

A typeface is created by a designer whose art is to transform an original typographic artwork into a computer file or files. As a consequence a typeface is – as a work – protected by laws pertaining to intellectual property rights and – as software – can not be copied and/or installed without first acquiring a nominative licence.

In no way, shape or form may a typeface be transmitted to a third party or modified. The desired modifications in the context of the development of a visual identity, can only be effected by the designer himself and only after acquisition of a written authorisation from 205TF.

The user of a 205TF typeface must first acquire of a licence that is adapted to his needs (desktop, web, application/epub, TV/film/videos web).

A licence is nominative (a physical person or business) and is non-transferable. The licensee can not transmit the typeface files to other people or organisations, including but not limited to partners and/or subcontractors who must acquire a separate and distinct licence or licences. The full text of the licence and terms of use can be downloaded here: any person or entity found in breach of one or more terms of the licence may be prosecuted.

THE OPENTYPE FORMAT

The OpenType format is compatible with both Macintosh and Windows platforms. Based on Unicode encoding it can contain up to 65,000 signs* including a number of writing systems (Latin, Greek, Cyrillic, Hebrew, etc.) and numerous signs that allow users to create accurate and sleek typographic compositions (small capitals, aligned and oldstyle numerals, proportionals and tabulars, ligatures, alternative letters, etc.). The OpenType format is supported by a wide range of software. The dynamic functions are accessed differently depending on the software used.

*A Postscript or Truetype typeface can contain no more than 256 signs.

SUPPORTED LANGUAGES

Afar
Afrikaans
Albanian
Azerbaijani
Basque
Belarusian
Bislama
Bosnian
Breton
Catalan
Chamorro
Chichewa
Comorian
Croatian
Czech
Danish
Dutch
English
Estonian
Esperanto
Faroese
Fijian
Filipino
Finnish
Flemish
Frison
French
Gaelic
Gagauz
German
Gikuyu
Gilbertese
Greenlandic
Guarani
Haitian
Haitian Creole
Hawaiian
Hungarian
Icelandic
Igbo
Indonesian
Irish
Italian
Javanese
Kashubian
Kinyarwanda
Kirundi
Luba
Latin
Latvian
Lithuanian
Luxembourgish
Malagasy
Malay
Maltese
Manx
Maori
Marquesan
Moldavian
Montenegrin
Nauruan
Ndebele
Norwegian
Occitan
Oromo
Palauan
Polish
Portuguese
Quechua
Romanian
Romansh
Sami
Samoan
Sango
Scottish
Serbian
Sesotho
Seychellois
Silesian
Slovak
Slovenian
Somali
Sorbian
Sotho
Spanish
Setswana
Swati
Swahili
Swedish
Tahitian
Tetum
Tok Pisin
Tongan
Tsonga
Tswana
Turkish
Turkmen
Tuvaluan
Uzbek
Wallisian
Walloon
Welsh
Xhosa
Zulu

ELEMENTARY PRINCIPLES OF USE

To buy or… By buying a typeface you support typeface designers who can dedicate the time necessary for the development of new typefaces (and you are of course enthusiastic at the idea of discovering and using them!)

Copy? By copying and illegally using typefaces, you jeopardise designers and kill their art. In the long term the result will be that you will only have Arial available to use in your compositions (and it would be well deserved!)

Test! 205TF makes test typefaces available. Before downloading them from www.205.tf you must first register. These test versions are not complete and can only be used in models/mock ups. Their use in a commercial context is strictly prohibited.

RESPONSIBILITY

205TF and the typeface designers represented by 205TF pay particular attention to the quality of the typographic design and the technical development of typefaces.

Each typeface has been tested on Macintosh and Windows, the most popular browsers (for webfonts) and on Adobe applications (InDesign, Illustrator, Photoshop) and Office (Word, Excel, PowerPoint).

205TF can not guarantee their correct functioning when used with other operating system or software. 205TF can not be considered responsible for an eventual “crash” following the installation of a typeface obtained through the www.205.tf website.

STYLES

DISPLAY LIGHT

Thelo Display Light

DISPLAY REGULAR

Thelo Display Regular

DISPLAY BOLD

Thelo Display Bold

TEXT REGULAR

Thelo Text Regular

TEXT ITALIC

Thelo Text Italic

TEXT BOLD

Thelo Text Bold

MICRO REGULAR

Thelo Micro Regular

MICRO ITALIC

Thelo Micro Italic

MICRO BOLD

Thelo Micro Bold

CHARACTER MAP (THELO DISPLAY & TEXT)

UPPERCASES

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

LOWERCASES

abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz

SMALL CAPS

STANDARD PUNCTUATION

H¿?!¡·,:;...•"‘’‚“”„–|¦«»‹›·---—()[]{}/\¶§#†‡&@®©℗™%‰*

CAPS PUNCTUATION

H¿¡«»‹›·---—()[]{}

SMALL CAPS PUNCTUATION

PROPORTIONAL LINING FIGURES

0123456789 €$ƒ¢£¥

PROPORTIONAL OLD STYLE FIGURES

0123456789 €$ƒ¢£¥

TABULAR LINING FIGURES

0123456789 €$ƒ¢£¥

TABULAR OLD STYLE FIGURES

0123456789 €$ƒ¢£¥

SMALL CAPS FIGURES

PREBUILD FRACTIONS

½ ¼ ¾

SUPERIORS/INFERIORS

H^{0123456789} H$_{0123456789}$ H^{adeglmorst}

ORDINALS

Nº N^{os} nº n^{os} 1ª 1º

SYMBOLS & MATHEMATICAL SIGNS

−+×÷=≠±√∧<>≤≥≈¬∞¤ΔΩ∂∫∑∏µπ°◊

STANDARD LIGATURES

ffb ffh ffi ffj ffk ffl fft fb ff fh fi fj fk fl ft tf tt

DISCRETIONARY LIGATURES

CONTEXTUAL ALTERNATES

ACCENTED UPPERCASES

ÀÁÂÃÄĀĂÅẢĄÆǼĆĈČĊÇĐĎÈÉÊĚËĒĔĖĘĜĞĠĢĤĦÌÍÎÏĨĪĬİĮ
IJĴĶĹĽĻŁĿŃÑŇŅŊÒÓÔÕÖŌŎŐØǾŒŔŘŖŚŜŠŞȘŢȚŦŢÙÚÛÜ
ŨŪŬŮŰŲẀẂŴẄỲÝŶŸŹŽŻÞ

ACCENTED LOWERCASES

àáâãäāăåảąæǽćĉčċçðďđèéêěëēĕėęĝğġģĥħìíîïĩīĭıijĵķĸĺľļłŀńňñņŋ
òóôõöōŏőøǿœŕřŗśŝšşșţțŧţùúûüũūŭůűųẁẃŵẅỳýŷÿźžżþ

ACCENTED SMALL CAPS

STYLISTIC ALTERNATES

HISTORICAL FORMS

ſ

ARROWS

←→↑↓↖↗↘↙

ORNAMENTS

■▲▶▼◀◆●♥

OPENTYPE FEATURES (THELO DISPLAY & TEXT)

1. Automatically spaced capitals.
2. Punctuation is opticaly repositionning
3, 4. Specific small capitals whereas opticaly reduced capitals.
5. Specific glyphs in several languages.
6, 7, 8, 9. Specific superior and inferior glyphs.
10, 11. Proportional figures.
12, 13. Tabular figures, practical when the user needs alignment in columns.
14. Slashed zero to distinguish with letter O.
15. Standard ligatures automaticaly correct collision between two characters.
16. Smart ligatures.
17. Specific contextual glyphs.
18. Specific titling capitals.

	FEATURE OFF	FEATURE ON
1. FULL CAPS	Lacassagne	LACASSAGNE
2. CASE SENSITIVE FORMS	(Hôtel-Dieu)	(HÔTEL-DIEU)
3. SMALL CAPS	×	×
4. CAPS TO SMALL CAPS	×	×
5. LOCALIZED FORMS		
ROMANIAN	Chişinău Galaţi	Chișinău Galați
CATALAN	Paral·lel	Paral·lel
FRENCH	Il dit : « Ah ! »	Il dit : « Ah ! »
6. ORDINALS	No Nos 1A 1O	Nº Nºs 1ª 1º
7. PREBUILD FRACTIONS	1/4 1/2 3/4	¼ ½ ¾
8. SUPERIORS	Mr Mlle 1er	Mr Mlle 1er
9. INFERIORS	H2O Fe3O4	H_2O Fe_3O_4
10. PROPORTIONAL LINING FIGURES	0123456789	0123456789
11. PROPORTIONAL OLD STYLE FIG.	0123456789	0123456789
12. TABULAR LINING FIGURES	0123456789	0123456789
13. TABULAR OLD STYLE FIG.	0123456789	0123456789
14. SLASHED ZERO	0 o 0 o	0̸ o̸ 0̸ o̸
15. LIGATURES	Off after	Off after
16. DISCRETIONARY LIGATURES	×	×
17. CONTEXTUAL ALTERNATES	08 x 32mm 10 x 65mm	08×32 mm 10×65 mm
18. CONTEXTUAL TITLING	×	×

OPENTYPE FEATURES (THELO DISPLAY & TEXT)

The stylistic set function allows to access
to specific signs which replace glyphs
in the standard set.
A typeface can contain 20 stylistic sets.

	FEATURE OFF	FEATURE ON
ARROWS (SS01)	--W	←
	--E	→
	--S	↓
	--N	↑
	--NW	↖
	--NE	↗
	--SE	↘
	--SW	↙

CHARACTER MAP (THELO MICRO)

UPPERCASES

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

LOWERCASES

abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz

SMALL CAPS

STANDARD PUNCTUATION

H¿?!¡.,:;…•'"‘’‚“”„–|¦«»‹›·-−—()[]{}/\¶§#†‡&@®©℗™%‰o*

CAPS PUNCTUATION

H¿¡«»‹›·-−—()[]{}

SMALL CAPS PUNCTUATION

PROPORTIONAL LINING FIGURES

00123456789 €$ƒ¢£¥

PROPORTIONAL OLD STYLE FIGURES

TABULAR LINING FIGURES

00123456789 €$ƒ¢£¥

TABULAR OLD STYLE FIGURES

SMALL CAPS FIGURES

PREBUILD FRACTIONS

½ ¼ ¾

SUPERIORS/INFERIORS

H^{0123456789} H$_{0123456789}$ H^{adeglmorst}

ORDINALS

Nº Nºs nº nºs 1ª 1º

SYMBOLS & MATHEMATICAL SIGNS

−+×÷=≠±√∧<>≤≥≈¬∞¤ΔΩ∂∫∑∏µπ°◊

STANDARD LIGATURES

ffb ffh ffi ffj ffk ffl fft fb ff fh fi fj fk fl ft tf tt

DISCRETIONARY LIGATURES

CONTEXTUAL ALTERNATES

ACCENTED UPPERCASES

ÀÁÂÃÄĀĂÅẢĄÆǼĆĈČĊÇĐĎÈÉÊĚËĒĔĖĘĜĞĠĢĤĦÌÍÎÏĨĪĬİĮ
IJĴĶĹĽĻŁĿŃÑŇŅŊÒÓÔÕÖŌŎŐØǾŒŔŘŖŚŜŠŞȘŤŢŦŢÙÚÛŨÜ
ŪŬŮŰŲẀẂŴẄỲÝŶŸŹŽŻÞ

ACCENTED LOWERCASES

àáâãäāăåảąæǽćĉčċçðďđèéêěëēĕėęĝğġģĥħìíîïĩīĭiıijĵķĸĺľļłŀńňñņŋ
òóôõöōŏőøǿœŕřŗśŝšşșsßťţŧţùúûũüūŭůűųẁẃŵẅỳýŷÿźžżþ

ACCENTED SMALL CAPS

STYLISTIC ALTERNATES

HISTORICAL FORMS

ſ

ARROWS

←→↑↓↖↗↘↙

ORNAMENTS

■▲▶▼◀◆●♥

OPENTYPE FEATURES (THELO MICRO)

1. Automatically spaced capitals.
2. Punctuation is opticaly repositionning
3, 4. Specific small capitals whereas opticaly reduced capitals.
5. Specific glyphs in several languages.
6, 7, 8, 9. Specific superior and inferior glyphs.
10, 11. Proportional figures.
12, 13. Tabular figures, practical when the user needs alignment in columns.
14. Slashed zero to distinguish with letter O.
15. Standard ligatures automaticaly correct collision between two characters.
16. Smart ligatures.
17. Specific contextual glyphs.
18. Specific titling capitals.

	FEATURE OFF	FEATURE ON
1. FULL CAPS	Lacassagne	LACASSAGNE
2. CASE SENSITIVE FORMS	(Hôtel-Dieu)	(HÔTEL-DIEU)
3. SMALL CAPS	×	×
4. CAPS TO SMALL CAPS	×	×
5. LOCALIZED FORMS		
ROMANIAN	Chişinău Galaţi	Chișinău Galați
CATALAN	Paral·lel	Paral·lel
FRENCH	Il dit : « Ah ! »	Il dit : « Ah ! »
6. ORDINALS	No Nos 1A 1O	Nº Nºs 1ª 1º
7. PREBUILD FRACTIONS	1/4 1/2 3/4	¼ ½ ¾
8. SUPERIORS	Mr Mlle 1er	Mr Mlle 1er
9. INFERIORS	H2O Fe3O4	H_2O Fe_3O_4
10. PROPORTIONAL LINING FIGURES	0123456789	0123456789
11. PROPORTIONAL OLD STYLE FIG.	×	×
12. TABULAR LINING FIGURES	0123456789	0123456789
13. TABULAR OLD STYLE FIG.	×	×
14. SLASHED ZERO	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
15. LIGATURES	Off after	Off after
16. DISCRETIONARY LIGATURES	×	×
17. CONTEXTUAL ALTERNATES	08 x 32mm 10 x 65mm	08×32 mm 10×65 mm
18. CONTEXTUAL TITLING	×	×

OPENTYPE FEATURES (THELO MICRO)

The stylistic set function allows to access
to specific signs which replace glyphs
in the standard set.
A typeface can contain 20 stylistic sets.

	FEATURE OFF	FEATURE ON
ARROWS (SS01)	--W	←
	--E	→
	--S	↓
	--N	↑
	--NW	↖
	--NE	↗
	--SE	↘
	--SW	↙

THELO DISPLAY LIGHT

56 PTS

Perhaps the most extraordinary and grotesque forms

32 PTS

Perhaps the most extraordinary and grotesque forms in the vegetable world are to be met with in various species of Cactus, of which are seven tribes

24 PTS

Perhaps the most extraordinary and grotesque forms in the vegetable world are to be met with in various species of Cactus, of which are seven tribes containing no less than sixty different kinds. Cactaceæ are exclusively confined to the tropics of America extend a little way north and south.

16 PTS

Perhaps the most extraordinary and grotesque forms in the vegetable world are to be met with in various species of Cactus, of which are seven tribes containing no less than sixty different kinds. Cactaceæ are exclusively confined to the tropics of America extend a little way north and south. When met with elsewhere they have been introduced—are not patives of the soil. The Pitahaya or Gigantic Cactus is the very Grand Master of the order. It is found in the rocky valleys and slopes of New Mexico, Arizona, and California, and is called by different names according to the language or dialect of each country. For the first few years of its existence it is globular, then it shoots up

THELO DISPLAY REGULAR

56 PTS

For the first few years of its existence it is globular, then

32 PTS

For the first few years of its existence it is globular, then it shoots up for ten or twelve feet and blossoms, then the trunk or stem shoots out again,

24 PTS

For the first few years of its existence it is globular, then it shoots up for ten or twelve feet and blossoms, then the trunk or stem shoots out again, and frequently rises to the height of sixty feet. It has few branches, but these are generally covered with flowers, which are clustered together.

16 PTS

For the first few years of its existence it is globular, then it shoots up for ten or twelve feet and blossoms, then the trunk or stem shoots out again, and frequently rises to the height of sixty feet. It has few branches, but these are generally covered with flowers, which are clustered together. The seed-vessel or fruit, which falls to the ground in clusters in July and August, is in the shape of a reddish green pear; inside is a rich crimson pulp, which tastes like al fresh fig, is nutritious and much valued by natives and others. The Night Blooming Cereus has a singular different from all others of its family. During the summer months it begins to open flowers between seven

THELO DISPLAY BOLD

56 PTS

During the summer months it begins to open

32 PTS

During the summer months it begins to open flowers between seven and eight o’clock in the evening. At eleven they are fully

24 PTS

During the summer months it begins to open flowers between seven and eight o’clock in the evening. At eleven they are fully expanded, and while thus they emit the most fragrance, which in their native home perfumes the air to a considerable distance.

16 PTS

During the summer months it begins to open flowers between seven and eight o’clock in the evening. At eleven they are fully expanded, and while thus they emit the most fragrance, which in their native home perfumes the air to a considerable distance. Each flower when open is nine inches in diameter, the inside is a splendid yellow colour, resembling the rays of a star, the stamens are a pure white, which adds to the illusion. The outside of the flower is brown. The flowers close between three and four o’clock in the morning, and rapidly decay. This cactus is represented in the centre of the

THELO TEXT REGULAR

56 PTS

The first Thelo-cactus to appear in Europe was

32 PTS

The first Thelocactus to appear in Europe was T. leucacanthus. This species was discovered by Karwinski near Zimapàn,

24 PTS

The first Thelocactus to appear in Europe was T. leucacanthus. This species was discovered by Karwinski near Zimapàn, and sent to the München botanical garden around 1830. At that time it was ascribed to the genus Echinocactus, as was the case with most of the

16 PTS

The first Thelocactus to appear in Europe was T. leucacanthus. This species was discovered by Karwinski near Zimapàn, and sent to the München botanical garden around 1830. At that time it was ascribed to the genus Echinocactus, as was the case with most of the globular cacti. The name Thelocactus appeared in the literature many years later, introduced by K. Schumann, who in his “opus magnum” Gesamtbeschreibung der Kakteen, used it to identify a subgenus of the then mastodontic genus Echinocactus. In it Schumann included a series of species sharing the common character of a stem divided

THELO TEXT REGULAR

12 PTS

The first Thelocactus to appear in Europe was T. leucacanthus. This species was discovered by Karwinski near Zimapàn, and sent to the München botanical garden around 1830. At that time it was ascribed to the genus Echinocactus, as was the case with most of the globular cacti. The name Thelocactus appeared in the literature many years later, introduced by K. Schumann, who in his “opus magnum” Gesamtbeschreibung der Kakteen, used it to identify a subgenus of the then mastodontic genus Echinocactus. In it Schumann included a series of species sharing the common character of a stem divided into tubercles, from which the name Thelocactus (“thele” in Greek means nipple). About thirty years later, Britton and Rose raised Thelocactus to the rank of genus, designating Thelocactus hexaedrophorus as the type species, and including twelve taxa that still belong to it. After Britton and Rose’s work, many other species were added to the original group, both as new species and as recombinations. Furthermore, the genus underwent some revisions that modified the

10 PTS

The first Thelocactus to appear in Europe was T. leucacanthus. This species was discovered by Karwinski near Zimapàn, and sent to the München botanical garden around 1830. At that time it was ascribed to the genus Echinocactus, as was the case with most of the globular cacti. The name Thelocactus appeared in the literature many years later, introduced by K. Schumann, who in his “opus magnum” Gesamtbeschreibung der Kakteen, used it to identify a subgenus of the then mastodontic genus Echinocactus. In it Schumann included a series of species sharing the common character of a stem divided into tubercles, from which the name Thelocactus (“thele” in Greek means nipple). About thirty years later, Britton and Rose raised Thelocactus to the rank of genus, designating Thelocactus hexaedrophorus as the type species, and including twelve taxa that still belong to it. After Britton and Rose’s work, many other species were added to the original group, both as new species and as recombinations. Furthermore, the genus underwent some revisions that modified the taxonomy and therefore the nomenclature of the species involved. Some of the species recombined in Thelocactus (T. gielsdorfianus, T. knuthianus, T. saueri, T. viereckii, T. subterraneus) were afterwards included by Backeberg in a related genus Gymnocactus, today a synonym of Turbinicarpus. In 1978 Anderson, on the basis of a comparison between some

8 PTS

The first Thelocactus to appear in Europe was T. leucacanthus. This species was discovered by Karwinski near Zimapàn, and sent to the München botanical garden around 1830. At that time it was ascribed to the genus Echinocactus, as was the case with most of the globular cacti. The name Thelocactus appeared in the literature many years later, introduced by K. Schumann, who in his “opus magnum” Gesamtbeschreibung der Kakteen, used it to identify a subgenus of the then mastodontic genus Echinocactus. In it Schumann included a series of species sharing the common character of a stem divided into tubercles, from which the name Thelocactus (“thele” in Greek means nipple). About thirty years later, Britton and Rose raised Thelocactus to the rank of genus, designating Thelocactus hexaedrophorus as the type species, and including twelve taxa that still belong to it. After Britton and Rose’s work, many other species were added to the original group, both as new species and as recombinations. Furthermore, the genus underwent some revisions that modified the taxonomy and therefore the nomenclature of the species involved. Some of the species recombined in Thelocactus (T. gielsdorfianus, T. knuthianus, T. saueri, T. viereckii, T. subterraneus) were afterwards included by Backeberg in a related genus Gymnocactus, today a synonym of Turbinicarpus. In 1978 Anderson, on the basis of a comparison between some characters, proposed the inclusion of the Gymnocactus species in Thelocactus, but in 1986 he changed his earlier proposal, including Gymnocactus species in Neolloydia, thus widening the limits of the latter genus. In the eighties some new taxa were described, both at the variety and at the species level, and the genus was newly delimited (Anderson 1987). In this revision the author included Hamatocactus setispinus in Thelocactus, basing his proposal on the similarities between many of the characters chosen to compare the species T. bicolor and H. setispinus. This new delimitation of Thelocactus totally upset the limits of the genus:

6 PTS

The first Thelocactus to appear in Europe was T. leucacanthus. This species was discovered by Karwinski near Zimapàn, and sent to the München botanical garden around 1830. At that time it was ascribed to the genus Echinocactus, as was the case with most of the globular cacti. The name Thelocactus appeared in the literature many years later, introduced by K. Schumann, who in his “opus magnum” Gesamtbeschreibung der Kakteen, used it to identify a subgenus of the then mastodontic genus Echinocactus. In it Schumann included a series of species sharing the common character of a stem divided into tubercles, from which the name Thelocactus (“thele” in Greek means nipple). About thirty years later, Britton and Rose raised Thelocactus to the rank of genus, designating Thelocactus hexaedrophorus as the type species, and including twelve taxa that still belong to it. After Britton and Rose’s work, many other species were added to the original group, both as new species and as recombinations. Furthermore, the genus underwent some revisions that modified the taxonomy and therefore the nomenclature of the species involved. Some of the species recombined in Thelocactus (T. gielsdorfianus, T. knuthianus, T. saueri, T. viereckii, T. subterraneus) were afterwards included by Backeberg in a related genus Gymnocactus, today a synonym of Turbinicarpus. In 1978 Anderson, on the basis of a comparison between some characters, proposed the inclusion of the Gymnocactus species in Thelocactus, but in 1986 he changed his earlier proposal, including Gymnocactus species in Neolloydia, thus widening the limits of the latter genus. In the eighties some new taxa were described, both at the variety and at the species level, and the genus was newly delimited (Anderson 1987). In this revision the author included Hamatocactus setispinus in Thelocactus, basing his proposal on the similarities between many of the characters chosen to compare the species T. bicolor and H. setispinus. This new delimitation of Thelocactus totally upset the limits of the genus: the two essential characters on which Britton and Rose had based the genus, i.e. the presence of tubercles, that gave the genus its name, and fruits dehiscent at the base, disappeared, since H. setispinus has no tubercles and has fleshy, indehiscent fruits. Since Anderson’s revision, other species have been described (T. garciae, T. multicephalus, T. flavus) and others still have been separated from the genus. Doweld (1998) has recently proposed the separation of T. conothelos and its varieties from Thelocactus and the inclusion of these taxa in a new genus, all based only on the differences in the microstructures of the seed cuticle, that in this species is smooth instead of finely granular. The most recent revision of the genus is by the two authors of this article (Mosco & Zanovello, 2000) and it comes from a study of many years, with observations carried out both on plants of their own collections and in habitat. Our study is based on the cross-comparison of 65 characters for each of the 34 entities examined. Our results allowed us to state that Hamatocactus does not belong to the same genus as

56 PTS

Thelocactus. Stem single or clustering, depressed, globose,

32 PTS

Thelocactus. Stem single or clustering, depressed, globose, ovoidal or cylindrical, 2-20 cm in diameter, 3-40 cm high. Ribs

24 PTS

Thelocactus. Stem single or clustering, depressed, globose, ovoidal or cylindrical, 2-20 cm in diameter, 3-40 cm high. Ribs present or indistinct. Tubercles present, rounded or conical. Areoles at the apex of tubercles, sometimes elongated into a short groove,

16 PTS

Thelocactus. Stem single or clustering, depressed, globose, ovoidal or cylindrical, 2-20 cm in diameter, 3-40 cm high. Ribs present or indistinct. Tubercles present, rounded or conical. Areoles at the apex of tubercles, sometimes elongated into a short groove, with or without glands. Spines generally straight, with variable diameter, length and color, usually radial and central ones are distinguishable. Flowers apical, funnel-shaped, with scaled ovary and tube, white, yellow or magenta. Fruits green to reddish, with perianth remnants present, dehiscent at maturity through a basal pore. Seeds pyriform, with a basal hilum, black, testa cells tabular, convex

THELO TEXT ITALIC

12 PTS

Thelocactus. Stem single or clustering, depressed, globose, ovoidal or cylindrical, 2-20 cm in diameter, 3-40 cm high. Ribs present or indistinct. Tubercles present, rounded or conical. Areoles at the apex of tubercles, sometimes elongated into a short groove, with or without glands. Spines generally straight, with variable diameter, length and color, usually radial and central ones are distinguishable. Flowers apical, funnel-shaped, with scaled ovary and tube, white, yellow or magenta. Fruits green to reddish, with perianth remnants present, dehiscent at maturity through a basal pore. Seeds pyriform, with a basal hilum, black, testa cells tabular, convex or conical, cuticle granular or smooth. Thelocactus Bicolor. It is perhaps the most common species in collections, easily identified by its two-colored flowers, magenta with red center, formed repeatedly from spring to the end of summer. The distribution area of T. bicolor is very large, extending from Texas (Brewster and Starr counties) to most of the Chihuahuan desert within the Mexican states of Chihuahua, Coahuila, Durango, Nuevo León, Tamaulipas and San Luis Potosí.

10 PTS

Thelocactus. Stem single or clustering, depressed, globose, ovoidal or cylindrical, 2-20 cm in diameter, 3-40 cm high. Ribs present or indistinct. Tubercles present, rounded or conical. Areoles at the apex of tubercles, sometimes elongated into a short groove, with or without glands. Spines generally straight, with variable diameter, length and color, usually radial and central ones are distinguishable. Flowers apical, funnel-shaped, with scaled ovary and tube, white, yellow or magenta. Fruits green to reddish, with perianth remnants present, dehiscent at maturity through a basal pore. Seeds pyriform, with a basal hilum, black, testa cells tabular, convex or conical, cuticle granular or smooth. Thelocactus Bicolor. It is perhaps the most common species in collections, easily identified by its two-colored flowers, magenta with red center, formed repeatedly from spring to the end of summer. The distribution area of T. bicolor is very large, extending from Texas (Brewster and Starr counties) to most of the Chihuahuan desert within the Mexican states of Chihuahua, Coahuila, Durango, Nuevo León, Tamaulipas and San Luis Potosí. This is undoubtedly a successful species, not only for its wide diffusion, but also because it has managed to colonize different habitats. It can be found in plains on alluvial soils, at the base of hills on slight slopes, and also on rocky, steeper slopes. Since the distribution area is so vast, and the habitats where it grows are so different, it is no surprise to find many populations with distinctive

8 PTS

Thelocactus. Stem single or clustering, depressed, globose, ovoidal or cylindrical, 2-20 cm in diameter, 3-40 cm high. Ribs present or indistinct. Tubercles present, rounded or conical. Areoles at the apex of tubercles, sometimes elongated into a short groove, with or without glands. Spines generally straight, with variable diameter, length and color, usually radial and central ones are distinguishable. Flowers apical, funnel-shaped, with scaled ovary and tube, white, yellow or magenta. Fruits green to reddish, with perianth remnants present, dehiscent at maturity through a basal pore. Seeds pyriform, with a basal hilum, black, testa cells tabular, convex or conical, cuticle granular or smooth. Thelocactus Bicolor. It is perhaps the most common species in collections, easily identified by its two-colored flowers, magenta with red center, formed repeatedly from spring to the end of summer. The distribution area of T. bicolor is very large, extending from Texas (Brewster and Starr counties) to most of the Chihuahuan desert within the Mexican states of Chihuahua, Coahuila, Durango, Nuevo León, Tamaulipas and San Luis Potosí. This is undoubtedly a successful species, not only for its wide diffusion, but also because it has managed to colonize different habitats. It can be found in plains on alluvial soils, at the base of hills on slight slopes, and also on rocky, steeper slopes. Since the distribution area is so vast, and the habitats where it grows are so different, it is no surprise to find many populations with distinctive characters. Many ofthese forms have been formally described, but not all of them are recognized today. We will now present the single characters of those we have considered sufficiently distinct. The subspecies bicolor comprises globose or ovoidal plants, with single stem, eight ribs, colored spines varying in number between 11 and 22. With regard to ssp. bolaensis, wehave included in this taxon all those forms with cylindrical stem and thirteen ribs, growing in several localities of the state of Coahuila. Therefore the typical form of bolaensis with completely white spines, coming from Cerro Bola, belongs to this taxon, together with the forms with yellowish or reddish spines known as wagnerianus. They all have

6 PTS

Thelocactus. Stem single or clustering, depressed, globose, ovoidal or cylindrical, 2-20 cm in diameter, 3-40 cm high. Ribs present or indistinct. Tubercles present, rounded or conical. Areoles at the apex of tubercles, sometimes elongated into a short groove, with or without glands. Spines generally straight, with variable diameter, length and color, usually radial and central ones are distinguishable. Flowers apical, funnel-shaped, with scaled ovary and tube, white, yellow or magenta. Fruits green to reddish, with perianth remnants present, dehiscent at maturity through a basal pore. Seeds pyriform, with a basal hilum, black, testa cells tabular, convex or conical, cuticle granular or smooth. Thelocactus Bicolor. It is perhaps the most common species in collections, easily identified by its two-colored flowers, magenta with red center, formed repeatedly from spring to the end of summer. The distribution area of T. bicolor is very large, extending from Texas (Brewster and Starr counties) to most of the Chihuahuan desert within the Mexican states of Chihuahua, Coahuila, Durango, Nuevo León, Tamaulipas and San Luis Potosí. This is undoubtedly a successful species, not only for its wide diffusion, but also because it has managed to colonize different habitats. It can be found in plains on alluvial soils, at the base of hills on slight slopes, and also on rocky, steeper slopes. Since the distribution area is so vast, and the habitats where it grows are so different, it is no surprise to find many populations with distinctive characters. Many of these forms have been formally described, but not all of them are recognized today. We will now present the single characters of those we have considered sufficiently distinct. The subspecies bicolor comprises globose or ovoidal plants, with single stem, eight ribs, colored spines varying in number between 11 and 22. With regard to ssp. bolaensis, we have included in this taxon all those forms with cylindrical stem and thirteen ribs, growing in several localities of the state of Coahuila. Therefore the typical form of bolaensis with completely white spines, coming from Cerro Bola, belongs to this taxon, together with the forms with yellowish or reddish spines known as wagnerianus. They all have slightly smaller flowers (max. diam. 80 mm, in average even less) with paler colors, so that the red throat is often barely visible. The western part of the distribution area is occupied by ssp. heterochromus, with depressed or globose stem, strong, white and red spines, and typically without areolar glands. Ssp. schwarzii has a much more limited distribution than the previous taxa, outside the Chihuahuan Desert in the Tamaulipan Thorn Forest, where only two localities are known. This entity is small sized, has a higher number of ribs (13) and no central spines, that may however appear sporadically. Another entity with a punctiform distribution is ssp. flavidispinus, that is found only in Brewster County, Texas. It is again a small sized species, with a higher number of ribs (13) than the type species. The spines, as the name says, are usually straw-yellow. Thelocactus Buekii. This is a species with depressed stem, generally with reddish hues, completely divided into tubercles. The flowers are strictly magenta. It may be found on the hilly slopes between Dr Arroyo and Galeana, in Nuevo León. More to the north, instead,

THELO TEXT BOLD

56 PTS

Thelocactus conothelos and related entities

32 PTS

Thelocactus conothelos and related entities are the most different from the other Thelocactus, both for flower

24 PTS

Thelocactus conothelos and related entities are the most different from the other Thelocactus, both for flower morphology (in this species the primary filaments are inserted 4-5 mm above the base of the nectar chamber) and for

16 PTS

Thelocactus conothelos and related entities are the most different from the other Thelocactus, both for flower morphology (in this species the primary filaments are inserted 4-5 mm above the base of the nectar chamber) and for seed micro-morphology (seed testa cells are conical and the surface of the cuticle is smooth). For such reasons Doweld thought it advisable to create a separate genus for them. T. conothelos is the most widespread taxon, distributed in many localities of the states of Nuevo León, San Luis Potosí and Tamaulipas.

THELO TEXT BOLD

12 PTS

Thelocactus conothelos and related entities are the most different from the other Thelocactus, both for flower morphology (in this species the primary filaments are inserted 4-5 mm above the base of the nectar chamber) and for seed micro-morphology (seed testa cells are conical and the surface of the cuticle is smooth). For such reasons Doweld thought it advisable to create a separate genus for them. T. conothelos is the most widespread taxon, distributed in many localities of the states of Nuevo León, San Luis Potosí and Tamaulipas. The forms with depressed stem growing around Matehuala are known by the name T. saussieri, while the typical form, with a more elongate stem, is found in Tamaulipas. Flowers are typically magenta, though we know about a population near Matehuala, where white flowered and magenta flowered plants grow together. Ssp. a rgenteus was discovered by Glass and Foster near Ascensión, in Nuevo León, and it differs from the type for the higher

10 PTS

Thelocactus conothelos and related entities are the most different from the other Thelocactus, both for flower morphology (in this species the primary filaments are inserted 4-5 mm above the base of the nectar chamber) and for seed micro-morphology (seed testa cells are conical and the surface of the cuticle is smooth). For such reasons Doweld thought it advisable to create a separate genus for them. T. conothelos is the most widespread taxon, distributed in many localities of the states of Nuevo León, San Luis Potosí and Tamaulipas. The forms with depressed stem growing around Matehuala are known by the name T. saussieri, while the typical form, with a more elongate stem, is found in Tamaulipas. Flowers are typically magenta, though we know about a population near Matehuala, where white flowered and magenta flowered plants grow together. Ssp. a rgenteus was discovered by Glass and Foster near Ascensión, in Nuevo León, and it differs from the type for the higher number of spines and for the white color of the central spines, that tend to fray with age. Ssp. aurantiacus was also discovered by Glass and Foster, but more to the north than the previous one, in the valley of Aramberri, still in Nuevo León. Only the different color of the flowers, varying from yellow to orange, distinguishes this entity from typical

8 PTS

Thelocactus conothelos and related entities are the most different from the other Thelocactus, both for flower morphology (in this species the primary filaments are inserted 4-5 mm above the base of the nectar chamber) and for seed micro-morphology (seed testa cells are conical and the surface of the cuticle is smooth). For such reasons Doweld thought it advisable to create a separate genus for them. T. conothelos is the most widespread taxon, distributed in many localities of the states of Nuevo León, San Luis Potosí and Tamaulipas. The forms with depressed stem growing around Matehuala are known by the name T. saussieri, while the typical form, with a more elongate stem, is found in Tamaulipas. Flowers are typically magenta, though we know about a population near Matehuala, where white flowered and magenta flowered plants grow together. Ssp. a rgenteus was discovered by Glass and Foster near Ascensión, in Nuevo León, and it differs from the type for the higher number of spines and for the white color of the central spines, that tend to fray with age. Ssp. aurantiacus was also discovered by Glass and Foster, but more to the north than the previous one, in the valley of Aramberri, still in Nuevo León. Only the different color of the flowers, varying from yellow to orange, distinguishes this entity from typical T. conothelos, since they are both morphologically alike. Ssp. flavus and ssp. garciae are the two most recently described subspecies, though they were well known before their official naming. Ssp. flavus has long been considered as a mere yellow flowered form of T. tulensis (also because these two species often grow together). It may be distinguished from the latter by the absence of ribs, the clustering of the stem, the flower morphology and the seed micro-morphology. As we have noticed, all the species related to T. conothelos have conical and smooth testa cells. In T. tulensis, instead, the testa cells are

6 PTS

Thelocactus conothelos and related entities are the most different from the other Thelocactus, both for flower morphology (in this species the primary filaments are inserted 4-5 mm above the base of the nectar chamber) and for seed micro-morphology (seed testa cells are conical and the surface of the cuticle is smooth). For such reasons Doweld thought it advisable to create a separate genus for them. T. conothelos is the most widespread taxon, distributed in many localities of the states of Nuevo León, San Luis Potosí and Tamaulipas. The forms with depressed stem growing around Matehuala are known by the name T. saussieri, while the typical form, with a more elongate stem, is found in Tamaulipas. Flowers are typically magenta, though we know about a population near Matehuala, where white flowered and magenta flowered plants grow together. Ssp. a rgenteus was discovered by Glass and Foster near Ascensión, in Nuevo León, and it differs from the type for the higher number of spines and for the white color of the central spines, that tend to fray with age. Ssp. aurantiacus was also discovered by Glass and Foster, but more to the north than the previous one, in the valley of Aramberri, still in Nuevo León. Only the different color of the flowers, varying from yellow to orange, distinguishes this entity from typical T. conothelos, since they are both morphologically alike. Ssp. flavus and ssp. garciae are the two most recently described subspecies, though they were well known before their official naming. Ssp. flavus has long been considered as a mere yellow flowered form of T. tulensis (also because these two species often grow together). It may be distinguished from the latter by the absence of ribs, the clustering of the stem, the flower morphology and the seed micro-morphology. As we have noticed, all the species related to T. conothelos have conical and smooth testa cells. In T. tulensis, instead, the testa cells are flat with a granular cuticle surface. T. garciae was described by Glass in 1997, honoring the discoverer with its name, but this entity had been known for a long time in Europe and was already then considered worthy of description. It is quite similar to T. conothelos, so much that one of us (Zanovello) passed next to it mistaking it for the latter. However, it may be distinguished by its rounded tubercles, smaller flowers (45 mm against 60 mm in diameter) and seeds with evident remains of the funiculus. Thelocactus Hastifer. The few known localities for this entity are all along the border between Querétaro and Hidalgo, on the edges of the deep gullies dug by the Rio Moctezuma and its tributaries. T. hastifer can be immediately recognized by its cereoid stem, erect or decumbent. The single plants have a swollen root and a thin stem (about 55 mm in diameter) divided into ribs, slightly clustering. At the base the plant body has a very thin collar that cannot bear the weight of the stem, so eventually it grows

THELO MICRO REGULAR

56 PTS

Cacti are the fifth most threatened major taxonomic

32 PTS

Cacti are the fifth most threatened major taxonomic group with 31% of species threatened (Goettsch et al., 2015).

24 PTS

Cacti are the fifth most threatened major taxonomic group with 31% of species threatened (Goettsch et al., 2015). Land conversion to agriculture affects large parts of cactus species in Northern Mexico, while the unscrupulous collection of plants and

16 PTS

Cacti are the fifth most threatened major taxonomic group with 31% of species threatened (Goettsch et al., 2015). Land conversion to agriculture affects large parts of cactus species in Northern Mexico, while the unscrupulous collection of plants and seeds is the main risk factor for threatened cacti (Goettsch et al., 2015). Nevertheless, future climate change may play an important role in redesigning distribution ranges of current populations, in the worst case leading to extinction (Martorell et al., 2015; Téllez-Valdés & Dávila-Aranda, 2003). Projected climates for

THELO MICRO REGULAR

12 PTS

Cacti are the fifth most threatened major taxonomic group with 31% of species threatened (Goettsch et al., 2015). Land conversion to agriculture affects large parts of cactus species in Northern Mexico, while the unscrupulous collection of plants and seeds is the main risk factor for threatened cacti (Goettsch et al., 2015). Nevertheless, future climate change may play an important role in redesigning distribution ranges of current populations, in the worst case leading to extinction (Martorell et al., 2015; Téllez-Valdés & Dávila-Aranda, 2003). Projected climates for the following years show an increase of the mean annual temperature by 1.5°C in the decade around 2030 and a decrease in precipitation, with an expansion of the arid zones of north-central Mexico toward both coasts and south-east (Sáenz-Romero et al., 2010). Although in general cacti should benefit from an increase in CO_2 concentration and temperature rise, extending their poleward and elevation ranges, the impact of climate change should be determined

10 PTS

Cacti are the fifth most threatened major taxonomic group with 31% of species threatened (Goettsch et al., 2015). Land conversion to agriculture affects large parts of cactus species in Northern Mexico, while the unscrupulous collection of plants and seeds is the main risk factor for threatened cacti (Goettsch et al., 2015). Nevertheless, future climate change may play an important role in redesigning distribution ranges of current populations, in the worst case leading to extinction (Martorell et al., 2015; Téllez-Valdés & Dávila-Aranda, 2003). Projected climates for the following years show an increase of the mean annual temperature by 1.5°C in the decade around 2030 and a decrease in precipitation, with an expansion of the arid zones of north-central Mexico toward both coasts and south-east (Sáenz-Romero et al., 2010). Although in general cacti should benefit from an increase in CO_2 concentration and temperature rise, extending their poleward and elevation ranges, the impact of climate change should be determined at the specific level (Nobel, 1996). Indeed, niche projections for future climate show that species would respond in specific ways, the predicted distribution areas varying from remaining stable to undergoing a severe contraction (Aragón Gastélum et al., 2014; Carrillo-Ángeles et al., 2016; Cortés et al., 2014). However, the potential distribution areas may not match potentially

8 PTS

Cacti are the fifth most threatened major taxonomic group with 31% of species threatened (Goettsch et al., 2015). Land conversion to agriculture affects large parts of cactus species in Northern Mexico, while the unscrupulous collection of plants and seeds is the main risk factor for threatened cacti (Goettsch et al., 2015). Nevertheless, future climate change may play an important role in redesigning distribution ranges of current populations, in the worst case leading to extinction (Martorell et al., 2015; Téllez-Valdés & Dávila-Aranda, 2003). Projected climates for the following years show an increase of the mean annual temperature by 1.5°C in the decade around 2030 and a decrease in precipitation, with an expansion of the arid zones of north-central Mexico toward both coasts and south-east (Sáenz-Romero et al., 2010). Although in general cacti should benefit from an increase in CO_2 concentration and temperature rise, extending their poleward and elevation ranges, the impact of climate change should be determined at the specific level (Nobel, 1996). Indeed, niche projections for future climate show that species would respond in specific ways, the predicted distribution areas varying from remaining stable to undergoing a severe contraction (Aragón Gastélum et al., 2014; Carrillo-Ángeles et al., 2016; Cortés et al., 2014). However, the potential distribution areas may not match potentially colonizable areas, the process being limited by several factors as seed dispersal efficiency, spatial barriers and unconnected distribution areas as observed for Thelocactus hastifer. The fruits in Thelocactus species are small, not juicy, dehiscing by a basal pore through which seeds are released, falling on the ground (personal observation, Hunt et al., 2006). The seed-dispersal mechanism for Thelocactus species is unknown, but it can be hypothesized the involvement of more than one dispersal mode, e.g. ants, wind, or water, with the exclusion of mammals and birds due to the lack of a fleshy fruit.

6 PTS

Cacti are the fifth most threatened major taxonomic group with 31% of species threatened (Goettsch et al., 2015). Land conversion to agriculture affects large parts of cactus species in Northern Mexico, while the unscrupulous collection of plants and seeds is the main risk factor for threatened cacti (Goettsch et al., 2015). Nevertheless, future climate change may play an important role in redesigning distribution ranges of current populations, in the worst case leading to extinction (Martorell et al., 2015; Téllez-Valdés & Dávila-Aranda, 2003). Projected climates for the following years show an increase of the mean annual temperature by 1.5°C in the decade around 2030 and a decrease in precipitation, with an expansion of the arid zones of north-central Mexico toward both coasts and south-east (Sáenz-Romero et al., 2010). Although in general cacti should benefit from an increase in CO_2 concentration and temperature rise, extending their poleward and elevation ranges, the impact of climate change should be determined at the specific level (Nobel, 1996). Indeed, niche projections for future climate show that species would respond in specific ways, the predicted distribution areas varying from remaining stable to undergoing a severe contraction (Aragón Gastélum et al., 2014; Carrillo-Ángeles et al., 2016; Cortés et al., 2014). However, the potential distribution areas may not match potentially colonizable areas, the process being limited by several factors as seed dispersal efficiency, spatial barriers and unconnected distribution areas as observed for Thelocactus hastifer. The fruits in Thelocactus species are small, not juicy, dehiscing by a basal pore through which seeds are released, falling on the ground (personal observation, Hunt et al., 2006). The seed-dispersal mechanism for Thelocactus species is unknown, but it can be hypothesized the involvement of more than one dispersal mode, e.g. ants, wind, or water, with the exclusion of mammals and birds due to the lack of a fleshy fruit. Fallen seeds could be harvested by ants that are efficient post-dispersal seed removals (Luna & Dáttilo, 2018), but this dispersion mode does not allow for great dispersal distances as ants disperse seeds < 1 m (Cain et al., 1998). Many plant species have limited seeddispersal capabilities, and the dispersion rate is so slow that no documented mechanism can explain their present geographical range since Pleistocene Glacial Maximum, suggesting the involvement of occasional long-distance dispersal events like tornados, hurricanes, or mud clinging to the feet of vertebrates (Cain et al., 1998). This hypothesis could explain how T. bicolor and T. hexaedrophorus have reached their actual geographical range that span northsouth for about 800 km and 300 km, respectively. The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas, both at federal andstate level, and most Thelocactus species can be found in some of them, although the percentage of localities occurring in protected areas is generally low (Hernández

THELO MICRO ITALIC

56 PTS

The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas,

32 PTS

The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas, both at federal and state level, and most Thelocactus species can be found

24 PTS

The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas, both at federal and state level, and most Thelocactus species can be found in some of them, although the percentage of localities occurring in protected areas is generally low (Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a).

16 PTS

The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas, both at federal and state level, and most Thelocactus species can be found in some of them, although the percentage of localities occurring in protected areas is generally low (Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). The situation is worse for microendemic taxa that occur in very small areas, e.g. some T. bicolor and T. conothelos subspecies and T. hastifer, which do not occur in any protected area and for which the creation of small reserve areas was already proposed in view of its efficacy and as a complement to largest protected areas (Fos et al., 2017; Hernández

THELO MICRO ITALIC

12 PTS

The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas, both at federal and state level, and most Thelocactus species can be found in some of them, although the percentage of localities occurring in protected areas is generally low (Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). The situation is worse for microendemic taxa that occur in very small areas, e.g. some T. bicolor and T. conothelos subspecies and T. hastifer, which do not occur in any protected area and for which the creation of small reserve areas was already proposed in view of its efficacy and as a complement to largest protected areas (Fos et al., 2017; Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). SDMs are the main tool to predict species distributions based on environmental suitability, and are very effective to render spatial models from sparse observations available from biological surveys and natural history collections (Franklin, 2010). They have the potential to support conservation actions and contribute to the decision-making process. SDMs may be used to identify and protect critical habitats that are necessary for the persistence of threatened

10 PTS

The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas, both at federal and state level, and most Thelocactus species can be found in some of them, although the percentage of localities occurring in protected areas is generally low (Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). The situation is worse for microendemic taxa that occur in very small areas, e.g. some T. bicolor and T. conothelos subspecies and T. hastifer, which do not occur in any protected area and for which the creation of small reserve areas was already proposed in view of its efficacy and as a complement to largest protected areas (Fos et al., 2017; Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). SDMs are the main tool to predict species distributions based on environmental suitability, and are very effective to render spatial models from sparse observations available from biological surveys and natural history collections (Franklin, 2010). They have the potential to support conservation actions and contribute to the decision-making process. SDMs may be used to identify and protect critical habitats that are necessary for the persistence of threatened species; to select areas for the establishment of reserves; to identify suitable sites for reintroduction or translocation as an aid to lessen the threat of climate changes or the impact of change of land use (Guisan et al., 2013). Most Thelocactus species can be considered vulnerable to global warming as a result of many factors like a low seed dispersal efficiency, a limited plant recruitment

8 PTS

The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas, both at federal and state level, and most Thelocactus species can be found in some of them, although the percentage of localities occurring in protected areas is generally low (Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). The situation is worse for microendemic taxa that occur in very small areas, e.g. some T. bicolor and T. conothelos subspecies and T. hastifer, which do not occur in any protected area and for which the creation of small reserve areas was already proposed in view of its efficacy and as a complement to largest protected areas (Fos et al., 2017; Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). SDMs are the main tool to predict species distributions based on environmental suitability, and are very effective to render spatial models from sparse observations available from biological surveys and natural history collections (Franklin, 2010). They have the potential to support conservation actions and contribute to the decision-making process. SDMs may be used to identify and protect critical habitats that are necessary for the persistence of threatened species; to select areas for the establishment of reserves; to identify suitable sites for reintroduction or translocation as an aid to lessen the threat of climate changes or the impact of change of land use (Guisan et al., 2013). Most Thelocactus species can be considered vulnerable to global warming as a result of many factors like a low seed dispersal efficiency, a limited plant recruitment caused by seedling sensitivity to high temperatures (Aragón Gastélum et al., 2016), a direct effect on their physiology (Nobel, 1996), or a change in the biotic interactions, as climate change could affect also the presence of pollinators and the animals required for seed dispersal or have an effect on the vegetation community and the nurse plants belonging to it (Ibisch & Mutke, 2015). The necessity of increasing protected areas in the CDR has been already underlined and the results presented in this study could be relevant for improving preservation actions and guiding reintroduction programs for a better conservation of Thelocactus species, taking into account the ecological

6 PTS

The Chihuahuan Desert hosts several protected areas, both at federal and state level, and most Thelocactus species can be found in some of them, although the percentage of localities occurring in protected areas is generally low (Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). The situation is worse for microendemic taxa that occur in very small areas, e.g. some T. bicolor and T. conothelos subspecies and T. hastifer, which do not occur in any protected area and for which the creation of small reserve areas was already proposed in view of its efficacy and as a complement to largest protected areas (Fos et al., 2017; Hernández & Gómez-Hinostrosa, 2011a). SDMs are the main tool to predict species distributions based on environmental suitability, and are very effective to render spatial models from sparse observations available from biological surveys and natural history collections (Franklin, 2010). They have the potential to support conservation actions and contribute to the decision-making process. SDMs may be used to identify and protect critical habitats that are necessary for the persistence of threatened species; to select areas for the establishment of reserves; to identify suitable sites for reintroduction or translocation as an aid to lessen the threat of climate changes or the impact of change of land use (Guisan et al., 2013). Most Thelocactus species can be considered vulnerable to global warming as a result of many factors like a low seed dispersal efficiency, a limited plant recruitment caused by seedling sensitivity to high temperatures (Aragón Gastélum et al., 2016), a direct effect on their physiology (Nobel, 1996), or a change in the biotic interactions, as climate change could affect also the presence of pollinators and the animals required for seed dispersal or have an effect on the vegetation community and the nurse plants belonging to it (Ibisch & Mutke, 2015). The necessity of increasing protected areas in the CDR has been already underlined and the results presented in this study could be relevant for improving preservation actions and guiding reintroduction programs for a better conservation of Thelocactus species, taking into account the ecological requirements of focal species. Niche overlap values between Thelocactus species are mostly low, reflecting the difference in the environmental suits each species is adapted to. Except for the pair hastiferleucacanthus, the niche equivalency test was rejected for all other species, showing that environmental spaces of Thelocactus species are significantly different from each other (Warren et al., 2010). The results of the niche similarity tests were quite varied, for some species pairs the niche similarities were higher than expected by chance, for others the null hypothesis was rejected, while in other species the significant results of the similarity test in only one direction, and not significant in the counter-direction, probably depend on the differences in the environmental background for the species pairs (Table 4) (Nakazato et al., 2010). The niches of the pair T. hastifer and T. leucacanthus were more similar than expected by chance, which was expected as their niches are equivalent, while when compared to the other species the similarity was not significative. Considering that these two species

THELO MICRO BOLD

56 PTS

Considering that these two species have

32 PTS

Considering that these two species have also an overlapping geographical distribution, the obtained results support

24 PTS

Considering that these two species have also an overlapping geographical distribution, the obtained results support the hypothesis of niche conservatism. Niche similarity higher than expected by chance was also found in most of the other

16 PTS

Considering that these two species have also an overlapping geographical distribution, the obtained results support the hypothesis of niche conservatism. Niche similarity higher than expected by chance was also found in most of the other pair-wise comparisons, suggesting that habitat conservatism is common among Thelocactus species. Thelocactus bicolor has the widest niche breadth, therefore being capable to exploit a larger set of environmental conditions, which is in agreement with its large geographic distribution that overlaps with the

THELO MICRO BOLD

12 PTS

Considering that these two species have also an overlapping geographical distribution, the obtained results support the hypothesis of niche conservatism. Niche similarity higher than expected by chance was also found in most of the other pair-wise comparisons, suggesting that habitat conservatism is common among Thelocactus species. Thelocactus bicolor has the widest niche breadth, therefore being capable to exploit a larger set of environmental conditions, which is in agreement with its large geographic distribution that overlaps with the range of most species, with the exclusion of the 2 southernmost, which is probably the explanation for the similarity test being significantly more similar when compared to the other species (Table 4). The reverse was not always true. For T. buekii, T. conothelos, and T. hexaedrophorus the results were not significantly similar, suggesting that these species are not suited to the habitat conditions in which T. bicolor can grow. For what

10 PTS

Considering that these two species have also an overlapping geographical distribution, the obtained results support the hypothesis of niche conservatism. Niche similarity higher than expected by chance was also found in most of the other pair-wise comparisons, suggesting that habitat conservatism is common among Thelocactus species. Thelocactus bicolor has the widest niche breadth, therefore being capable to exploit a larger set of environmental conditions, which is in agreement with its large geographic distribution that overlaps with the range of most species, with the exclusion of the 2 southernmost, which is probably the explanation for the similarity test being significantly more similar when compared to the other species (Table 4). The reverse was not always true. For T. buekii, T. conothelos, and T. hexaedrophorus the results were not significantly similar, suggesting that these species are not suited to the habitat conditions in which T. bicolor can grow. For what concerns T. rinconensis, the similarity test was rejected when paired to T. hexaedrophorus, but the reverse comparison showed that the similarity test was accepted. These results suggest that T. rinconensis has rather different environmental requirements of T. hexaedrophorus, which exploits a more heterogeneous habitat and

8 PTS

Considering that these two species have also an overlapping geographical distribution, the obtained results support the hypothesis of niche conservatism. Niche similarity higher than expected by chance was also found in most of the other pair-wise comparisons, suggesting that habitat conservatism is common among Thelocactus species. Thelocactus bicolor has the widest niche breadth, therefore being capable to exploit a larger set of environmental conditions, which is in agreement with its large geographic distribution that overlaps with the range of most species, with the exclusion of the 2 southern-most, which is probably the explanation for the similarity test being significantly more similar when compared to the other species (Table 4). The reverse was not always true. ForT. buekii, T. conothelos, and T. hexaedrophorus the results were not significantly similar, suggesting that these species arenot suited to the habitat conditions in which T. bicolor can grow. For what concerns T. rinconensis, the similarity test wasrejected when paired to T. hexaedrophorus, but the reverse comparison showed that the similarity test was accepted. These results suggest that T. rinconensis has rather different environmental requirements of T. hexaedrophorus, which exploits a more heterogeneous habitat and therefore its niche overlaps that of T. rinconensis. Five species, T. buekii, T. conothelos, T. hexaedrophorus, T. multicephalus, and T. tulensis, showed a similarity greater than expected by chance. All of them are geographically distributed in part or only in the Galeana, Mier y Noriega, and Huizache subregions of the CDR (Hernández & Bárcenas, 1996), areas rich in species number and endemicity of cacti, whose diversification is related to increased aridity in response to the uplift of the Sierra Madre Oriental and the development of the TransMexican Volcanic Belt (Vázquez-Sánchez et al., 2013)

6 PTS

Considering that these two species have also an overlapping geographical distribution, the obtained results support the hypothesis of niche conservatism. Niche similarity higher than expected by chance was also found in most of the other pair-wise comparisons, suggesting that habitat conservatism is common among Thelocactus species. Thelocactus bicolor has the widest niche breadth, therefore being capable to exploit a larger set of environmental conditions, which is in agreement with its large geographic distribution that overlaps with the range of most species, with the exclusion of the 2 southernmost, which is probably the explanation for the similarity test being significantly more similar when compared to the other species (Table 4). The reverse was not always true. For T. buekii, T. conothelos, and T. hexaedrophorus the results were not significantly similar, suggesting that these species are not suited to the habitat conditions in which T. bicolor can grow. For what concerns T. rinconensis, the similarity test was rejected when paired to T. hexaedrophorus, but the reverse comparison showed that the similarity test was accepted. These results suggest that T. rinconensis has rather different environmental requirements of T. hexaedrophorus, which exploits a more heterogeneous habitat and therefore its niche overlaps that of T. rinconensis. Five species, T. buekii, T. conothelos, T. hexaedrophorus, T. multicephalus, and T. tulensis, showed a similarity greater than expected by chance. All of them are geographically distributed in part or only in the Galeana, Mier y Noriega, and Huizache subregions of the CDR (Hernández & Bárcenas, 1996), areas rich in species number and endemicity of cacti, whose diversification is related to increased aridity in response to the uplift of the Sierra Madre Oriental and the development of the TransMexican Volcanic Belt (Vázquez-Sánchez et al., 2013) in the late Miocene (Arakaki et al., 2011; HernándezHernández et al., 2014). Pleistocene glacial maximum (Wisconsin, 11,000 years ago) brought a cooler and wetter climate affecting the areas occupied by desert communities. Climate fluctuations driven by advances and retreats of the Laurentide Continental Glacier promoted contractions, retractions and displacements of the geographic range of the species involved (Cartron et al., 2005). It has been shown that niche conservatism can be traced back to Late Pliocene Maximum, for example when the distribution range of Schiffornis turdina WiedNeuwied fragmented in many areas that correspond to possible Pleistocene refugia (Martínez-Meyer & Peterson, 2006; Peterson & Nyári, 2008). Significant ecological niche conservatism is also found for most Thelocactus species pairs, although the observed geographic ranges of Thelocactus species rarely overlap, and then mostly partially, and that species distribution is mainly allopatric.

CAUTION

In order to protect the work of the typeface designer,
this pdf file is locked.
205TF will initiate legal action against anyone unlocking this pdf.

CONTACT

205 Corp.
24, rue Commandant-Faurax
69006 Lyon
France

T. +33 (0)4 37 47 85 69
contact@205.tf

SAS 205 Corp.
SIRET 522 580 430 00026
TVA Intra FR–45522580430

COPYRIGHT